CLINICAL TRIALS

SECTION EDITOR: ANNE S. LINDBLAD, PhD

ARCHIVES EXPR

A Randomized Trial Comparing the Efficacy and Safety of Intravitreal Triamcinolone With Observation to Treat Vision Loss Associated With Macular Edema Secondary to Central Retinal Vein Occlusion

The Standard Care vs Corticosteroid for Retinal Vein Occlusion (SCORE) Study Report 5

The SCORE Study Research Group*

Objective: To compare the efficacy and safety of 1-mg and 4-mg doses of preservative-free intravitreal triamcinolone with observation for eyes with vision loss associated with macular edema secondary to perfused central retinal vein occlusion (CRVO).

Methods: Multicenter, randomized, clinical trial of 271 participants.

Main Outcome Measure: Gain in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more from baseline to month 12.

Results: Seven percent, 27%, and 26% of participants achieved the primary outcome in the observation, 1-mg, and 4-mg groups, respectively. The odds of achieving the primary outcome were 5.0 times greater in the 1-mg group than the observation group (odds ratio [OR], 5.0; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.8-14.1; P=.001) and 5.0 times greater in 4-mg group than the observation group (OR, 5.0; 95% CI, 1.8-14.4; P=.001); there was no difference identified between the 1-mg and 4-mg groups (OR, 1.0; 95% CI, 0.5-2.1; P=.97). The rates of elevated intraocular pressure and cataract were similar for the observation and 1-mg groups, but higher in the 4-mg group.

Conclusions: Intravitreal triamcinolone is superior to observation for treating vision loss associated with macular edema secondary to CRVO in patients who have characteristics similar to those in the SCORE-CRVO trial. The 1-mg dose has a safety profile superior to that of the 4-mg dose.

Application to Clinical Practice: Intravitreal triamcinolone in a 1-mg dose, following the retreatment criteria applied in the SCORE Study, should be considered for up to 1 year, and possibly 2 years, for patients with characteristics similar to those in the SCORE-CRVO trial.

Trial Registration: clinicaltrials.gov Identifier: NCT00105027

Arch Ophthalmol. 2009;127(9):1101-1114

*The Authors/Writing Committee are listed at the end of this article. Group Information: A complete list of the SCORE Study Research Group members is provided on pages 1112 and 1113.

Downloaded From: http://archopht.jamanetwork.com/ on 05/23/2012

ENTRAL RETINAL VEIN OCclusion (CRVO) is an important cause of vision loss worldwide.¹⁻⁴ The prevalence of CRVO was estimated to be 0.4% in the Blue Moun-

tains Eye Study.² The 15-year cumulative incidence of CRVO was 0.5% in the Beaver Dam Eye Study.3 In the Beaver Dam cohort, central and branch retinal vein

For editorial comment see page 1203

occlusion accounted for 12% of eyes that developed severe vision loss over a 15year period.4

Macular edema is a frequent cause of vision loss in eyes with CRVO.5-7 The natural history of macular edema secondary to CRVO was delineated in the Central Vein Occlusion Study (CVOS), which found no significant difference in visual outcome between the treatment (grid photocoagulation) and observation groups at any follow-up point.7 Although there was a definite decrease in macular edema on fluorescein angiography in the treatment group when compared with the observation group, this did not translate to a direct visual improvement. Therefore, at present there is no proven, effective therapy for vision loss associated with macular edema secondary to CRVO.

1101

During the last decade, a number of additional treatments for macular edema secondary to CRVO have been investigated. Such treatments include vitrectomy surgery, radial optic neurotomy, intravitreal injection of tissue plasminogen activator, and intravitreal injection of aptamers or antibodies targeted at vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).8-15 Treatment of macular edema secondary to CRVO with intravitreal injection(s) of triamcinolone acetonide (hereafter referred to as intravitreal triamcinolone) has been evaluated recently. Beginning in 2002, a few case series suggested intravitreal triamcinolone as a potentially efficacious therapy for vision loss and retinal thickening in patients with CRVO, but suggested that some patients develop steroid-related complications such as elevated intraocular pressure (IOP) and cataract; injectionrelated complications such as retinal detachment and endophthalmitis were also reported.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ Most of these case series lacked long-term follow-up and adequate numbers of study participants. Despite the shortcomings of these case series, intravitreal triamcinolone is currently in use for treatment of CRVO.

The rationale for the use of corticosteroids to treat macular edema secondary to CRVO follows the observation that the increase in retinal capillary permeability that results in macular edema may be caused by a breakdown of the blood retina barrier mediated in part by VEGF, a 45-kDa glycoprotein.²⁰⁻²² Therefore, attenuation of the effects of VEGF, noted to be upregulated in eyes with CRVO, may reduce macular edema associated with CRVO.^{23,24} Corticosteroids have been demonstrated to inhibit the expression of VEGF and therefore may be an effective therapy for macular edema.^{25,26} Inflammation may also contribute to the pathology of CRVO, and the anti-inflammatory properties of corticosteroids may play a role in the attenuation of the disease process.²⁷ Additionally, corticosteroids may also have a neuroprotective effect that may be beneficial in eyes with CRVO.28

Intravitreal triamcinolone is used by ophthalmologists in the clinical setting as a readily available pharmacologic agent (Kenalog 40; Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, New Jersey, or Triesence; Alcon Inc, Fort Worth, Texas), though use for the treatment of macular edema is off label. Other formulations such as compounded preservative-free triamcinolone acetonide are also used in the clinical setting.

The Standard Care vs Corticosteroid for Retinal Vein Occlusion (SCORE) Study, sponsored by the National Eye Institute, is a clinical trial designed to compare 1-mg and 4-mg doses of intravitreal triamcinolone with standard care for treatment of vision loss associated with macular edema secondary to perfused CRVO and branch retinal vein occlusion (BRVO).^{29,30} This article describes findings from the SCORE-CRVO trial. A companion article that compares intravitreal triamcinolone with grid photocoagulation, when applicable, for the treatment of vision loss associated with macular edema secondary to BRVO is published concurrently (the SCORE-BRVO trial).³¹ The standard-care treatment for CRVO was observation at the time the SCORE Study was planned in 2003. The 2 primary study objectives of the SCORE-CRVO trial are (1) to determine whether intravitreal triamcinolone at doses of 1 mg or 4 mg produces greater visual benefit, with an acceptable safety profile, than observation for the treatment of vision loss associated with macular edema secondary to CRVO, and (2) to compare the efficacy and safety of the 1-mg and 4-mg triamcinolone doses.

METHODS

DESIGN

The SCORE-CRVO trial was designed as a multicenter, prospective, randomized clinical trial and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval for the protocol was obtained from either a central (Jaeb Center for Health Research) or local institutional review board. Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act-compliant informed consent forms were obtained before screening any participants. Study oversight was provided by an independent data and safety monitoring committee. Eligibility for the SCORE-CRVO trial was determined at the clinical sites (Table 1). All participants were randomized within 21 days of screening or rescreening. One eve per participant was enrolled in the trial. Participants and physicians were masked to the intravitreal triamcinolone dose (1 mg vs 4 mg) but not to the treatment assignment of observation vs intravitreal triamcinolone. The prespecified primary efficacy evaluation was performed at month 12. The primary outcome measure was the proportion of participants who experienced a gain in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more from baseline to month 12, as assessed by the electronic Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (E-ETDRS) method.32

RANDOMIZATION

Within baseline visual acuity strata of good (visual acuity letter score, 73-59; Snellen equivalent, 20/40 to 20/63); moderate (visual acuity letter score, 58-49; Snellen equivalent, 20/80 to 20/100); and poor (visual acuity letter score, 48-19; Snellen equivalent, 20/125 to 20/400), participants were randomly assigned centrally through a Web-based data entry system maintained at the data coordinating center (EMMES Corporation, Rockville, Maryland), with equal probability to receive standard care (observation group), 1 mg of intravitreal triamcinolone, or 4 mg of intravitreal triamcinolone using a permuted blocks design with random block sizes.

VISIT SCHEDULE

Study visits were planned for every 4 months through 36 months. At baseline and at each follow-up visit, the best-corrected visual acuity letter score was measured at 3 m by a masked certified tester using the E-ETDRS method.³² A standardized refraction was performed at baseline, month 4, and at the annual visits (months 12, 24, and 36). All participants who received intravitreal triamcinolone had additional safety visits at 4 days $(\pm 3 \text{ days})$ and at 4 weeks (±1 week) following each injection; visual acuity, IOP measurement, and an eye examination including a dilated fundus examination were recorded for the study eye at these visits. At all other visits, participants had an eye examination, E-ETDRS testing, IOP measurement, and an optical coherence tomography (OCT) scan (OCT2 or Stratus OCT; Carl Zeiss Meditech, Dublin, California). These tests were performed on both eyes at all visits except months 8, 16, 20, 28, and 32, where OCT was performed only on the study eye. Stereoscopic color fundus photographs (7 fields) were taken of the study eye at baseline and at the annual visits. Three-field photographs were taken of the study eye at the month 4, 8, 16, 20, 28, and 32 visits, and of the fellow eye at the baseline and annual visits. Lens opacities for both eyes were assessed at baseline and at the annual visits using the modified Age-Related Eye Dis-

Table 1. Study Eye Major Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

nciusion Criteria	nc	lusi	on	Crite	ria
-------------------	----	------	----	-------	-----

Best-corrected ETDRS visual acuity letter score of \leq 73 (approximate Snellen equivalent, 20/40 or worse) and \geq 19 (20/400 or better)^a Center-involved macular edema secondary to CRVO present on clinical examination

Mean central subfield retinal thickness of 2 OCT fast macular scans, \geq 250 µm

Media clarity, pupillary dilation, and participant cooperation sufficient for adequate fundus photographs

Exclusion Criteria

An ocular condition such that visual acuity would not improve from resolution of the edema (eg, foveal atrophy)

Substantial cataract estimated to have reduced visual acuity by 3 lines or more

Macular edema due to a cause other than CRVO

Prior treatment with intravitreal corticosteroids at any time or peribulbar steroid injection within 6 mo prior to randomization

History of focal or grid macular photocoagulation within 15 wk (3.5 mo), panretinal photocoagulation within 4 mo prior to randomization, or anticipated need for panretinal photocoagulation within the 4 mo following randomization

Prior pars plana vitrectomy

Major ocular surgery (including cataract extraction) within prior 6 mo or anticipated within the next 6 mo following randomization

Yttrium aluminum garnet capsulotomy performed within 2 mo prior to randomization

IOP ≥25 mm Hg, open-angle glaucoma (either primary open-angle glaucoma or other cause of open-angle glaucoma), steroid-induced IOP elevation that required IOP-lowering treatment, or pseudoexfoliation

Aphakia

Abbreviations: CRVO, central retinal vein occlusion; ETDRS, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; IOP, intraocular pressure; OCT, optical coherence tomography.

^a The original lower limit of visual acuity was expanded from 34 or more to 24 or more 5 months after accrual began and then from 24 or more to 19 or more 12 months after accrual began.

ease Study grading method.³³ Fluorescein angiograms were performed at baseline and at the month 4, 12, and 24 visits. All images were sent to the reading center (University of Wisconsin Fundus Photograph Reading Center, Madison, Wisconsin) for analysis, where they were graded in a masked fashion. Blood pressure was measured at baseline and at the annual visits.

Participating study personnel such as physician investigators and study coordinators were certified by the data coordinating center. Photographers and technicians who performed the fundus photographs and OCT images for this study were certified by the reading center.

INTRAVITREAL INJECTIONS

The optimal dose of intraocular corticosteroid to maximize efficacy and simultaneously minimize adverse effects was not known when the SCORE Study was initiated. The most commonly used dose of triamcinolone for treating eyes with macular edema secondary to CRVO is 4 mg, delivered in a volume of 0.1 mL. However, the rationale for using this dose has been based on clinical feasibility (limiting volume of 0.1 mL of the first available triamcinolone formulation; Kenalog) rather than scientific principles. Clinical experience with other triamcinolone doses ranging from 1 to 20 mg³⁴⁻³⁶ is limited.

The SCORE-CRVO trial evaluated 1-mg and 4-mg doses of triamcinolone. The 1-mg dose was evaluated because this dose is likely to exceed the concentration necessary to saturate the glucocorticoid receptors in the cell cytoplasm.^{37,38} In addition, it was anticipated that, compared with the 4-mg dose, the 1-mg dose would have a lower risk of steroid-related adverse events. Insufficient data were available at the time of protocol development to warrant evaluating a dose higher than 4 mg.

A preservative-free, nondispersive formulation of triamcinolone was used in the current study in an effort to avoid the postinjection intraocular inflammation described with Kenalog and some of the compounded triamcinolone formulations, thought to be attributable to the excipients in Kenalog, endotoxins, or to a particle dispersion phenomenon.^{39,40} The study drug was manufactured as a sterile, preservative-free, single-use, intravitreal injectable (Allergan Inc, Irvine, California; 4-mg brand name, Trivaris) in 1-mg and 4-mg doses. Both doses were in a volume of 0.05 mL. All study eyes assigned to the intravitreal triamcinolone groups received a standardized ocular surface preparation procedure prior to injection consisting of an eyelid speculum, topical anesthetic, administration of topical antibiotics on the day of injection, and asepsis with povidone iodine.⁴¹

Following the preparation procedure, either 1 mg or 4 mg of triamcinolone acetonide was injected into the vitreous cavity via the pars plana 3 to 4 mm posterior to the limbus. The eyelid speculum was removed, and indirect ophthalmoscopy was performed to confirm the intravitreal location of the triamcinolone and to confirm a perfused central retinal artery. Participants were instructed to use topical antibiotics 4 times daily for 3 days following the injection.

RETREATMENT CRITERIA

Retreatment criteria were identical for both intravitreal triamcinolone groups. Participants were retreated at 4-month intervals (minimum, 105 days from the last treatment) according to the original treatment assigned at randomization, except when specific reasons not to retreat were encountered. However, even if these reasons for not retreating were present, investigators were not prohibited from retreating. The 3 reasons to consider deferral of retreatment were (1) treatment was successful (either the investigator believed that the macula was flat, with an OCTmeasured central subfield thickness of \leq 225 µm, the visual acuity letter score was 79 or more [Snellen equivalent, 20/25 or better], or there was substantial improvement in macular edema from the prior treatment and further improvement from the prior treatment might be expected); (2) treatment was contraindicated because, in the judgment of the investigator, the participant had a significant adverse effect due to prior treatment (eg, IOP rise that required treatment); or (3) additional treatment was considered "apparently futile." Treatment was considered apparently futile if a period of 8 or more months transpired during which there were 2 intravitreal triamcinolone treatments but there was no evidence of at least borderline improvement. Borderline improvement was present if, when compared with findings at the beginning of the period, there was an increase in visual acuity letter score of 5 or more or there was a decrease in calculated retinal thickening (actual thickness minus mean normal thickness⁴²) that was at least 50 μ m and represented at least a 20% reduction in retinal thickening compared with the findings at the beginning of the period.

ALTERNATE TREATMENT CRITERIA

In the SCORE-CRVO trial, eyes assigned to observation could receive intravitreal triamcinolone when there was a loss from baseline in best-corrected visual acuity letter score of 15 or more that was present at 2 consecutive 4-month–interval visits. The decrease in visual acuity had to be a result of persistent or recurrent macular edema (ie, not because of cataract or other abnormality) that was documented on OCT. If the above criteria were met, eyes assigned to observation could receive (but were not required to receive) intravitreal triamcinolone (4-mg dose, study formulation); all such eyes were analyzed as originally assigned in the observation group, even if they received treatment with intravitreal triamcinolone.

ASSESSMENT OF MACULAR EDEMA

The reading center graders, without knowledge of treatment assignment or participant clinical data, followed a standardized protocol to grade the area of macular edema and retinal hemorrhage using stereoscopic fundus photographs.⁴³ The OCT scans were evaluated for both quantitative data (eg, central sub-field thickness), using the macular fastmap scan consisting of 6 radially oriented scans, and qualitative data (eg, presence or absence of vitreomacular traction, subretinal fluid, and cystoid spaces), using the 2 scan crosshair images.⁴⁴ Center point thickness was used for analysis instead of central subfield thickness because this permitted correction of errors in the measurement of the inner and outer retinal boundaries. The correlation between center point thickness and central subfield thickness is 0.98.⁴⁵ Fluorescein angiograms were graded for area of nonperfusion and leakage in disc areas.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The primary efficacy outcome measure of the SCORE-CRVO trial is the proportion of participants experiencing a gain in E-ETDRS visual acuity letter score of 15 or more from baseline to month 12. The primary outcome measure was examined for each of 3 pairwise comparisons: (1) the 1-mg triamcinolone group vs the observation group; (2) the 4-mg triamcinolone group vs the observation group; and (3) the 1-mg vs the 4-mg triamcinolone group.

The SCORE-CRVO trial was designed assuming efficacy of 15% in the observation arm, estimated from the CVOS,7 and 30% in both the 1-mg and 4-mg triamcinolone arms, estimated from studies of case series for treatment of macular edema with intravitreal triamcinolone.^{16-19,46} The original target sample size was 630 participants, to be divided equally among the 3 treatment arms. After 10% attrition, this would yield 90% power independently at α = .025, 2-tailed, for 2 of the 3 primary pairwise comparisons: (1) the 1-mg triamcinolone group vs the observation group; and (2) the 4-mg triamcinolone group vs the observation group. A priori, a treatment difference between the 1-mg and the 4-mg triamcinolone groups was not expected. Slow recruitment prompted a downward revision of the sample size from 630 to 486 participants, granting 80% power. Later, a series of conditional power analyses convinced the data and safety monitoring committee that the trial should continue, even though only about 50% of the revised sample size would be attained. A common closeout date of February 28, 2009, was subsequently established to allow at least 12 months of follow-up of all participants.

The primary analysis of the SCORE-CRVO trial is based on an observed case analysis that analyzed participants based on the arm to which they were randomized (consistent with the intention-to-treat principle) and treated missing 12-month observations as missing completely at random. To be included in the primary analysis, a study participant must have had 12-month visual acuity within a window ranging from 2 months before the target date to 3 months after the target date, with the target date defined as 12 months after the date of randomization. The statistical significance of the 3 pairwise comparisons was calculated using closed testing procedures47 modified for sequential testing, with family-wide error controlled at no more than $\alpha = .05$. Logistic regression modeled the effects of the treatment assignment on the primary outcome while adjusting for the stratification factor of baseline visual acuity (good, moderate, or poor) used in the design of the SCORE-CRVO trial. P values for the 3 primary study group comparisons and for the simultaneous comparison of all 3 arms (1 mg of triamcinolone vs 4 mg of triamcinolone vs observation) were calculated. P values were then adjusted to account for simultaneous inference at a single time point as well as for interim monitoring. For interim monitoring, an O'Brien-Fleming-type boundary using a Lan and DeMets αspending function was specified so that, for all but the final comparison, family-wide error would be no more than .005 and the amount of family-wide α spent at the final comparison would be between .045 and .05.

Analyses were also performed to assess the consistency of the primary efficacy results. This included a last observation carried forward analysis and a per protocol analysis that included only study eyes with 12-month visual acuity data and excluded participants who, before 12 months, received an alternative treatment (ie, treatment crossovers) or a nonprotocol treatment, who did not meet the eligibility criteria, or who did not receive the treatment assigned at randomization. Additional analyses assessing the consistency of results are presented elsewhere (eTable; http://www.archophthalmol.com).

Secondary statistical analyses were performed, with analysis of variance and Kruskal-Wallis tests for continuous data and χ^2 tests for categorical data. To examine changes from baseline in visual acuity for various subgroups, 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the mean changes were provided. Presentations of continuous data included median (interquartile range) instead of or in addition to mean (standard deviation) to allow a description of the distribution of the data. Only the primary analysis was adjusted for multiple testing. Thus, P values and CIs for secondary findings are intended primarily to give a sense of the variability inherent in the data. Adverse events reported by the clinical centers were coded per the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities, version 11.0, by trained staff at the data coordinating center. SAS version 9.1.3 (SAS Inc, Carey, North Carolina) was used to conduct all statistical analyses. All analyses included data available as of April 1, 2009.

RESULTS

BASELINE CHARACTERISTICS

Between November 8, 2004, and February 29, 2008, 271 patients with CRVO were enrolled from 66 clinical sites (**Table 2**). The mean duration of macular edema (based on patient history or ophthalmologic diagnosis) prior to enrollment was 4 months; 39% of participants had macular edema for less than 3 months and 81% for 6 months or less. The mean baseline visual acuity letter score was 51 (Snellen equivalent, approximately 20/100), and eyes had a mean center point thickness of 659 µm based on

Table 2. Baseline Characteristics by Treatment Group

	No. (%)						
Characteristic	Observation	1 mg ^a	4 mg ^a	Total			
Participants	88	92	91	271			
Demographic characteristics							
Mean (SD) age, y	69.2 (12.8)	67.4 (12.4)	67.5 (12.0)	68.0 (12.4)			
Minimum/maximum	35/93	32/88	27/91	27/93			
Women	40 (45)	43 (47)	40 (44)	123 (45)			
White race	81 (92)	84 (91)	82 (90)	247 (91)			
Study eye characteristics		()	· · /	~ /			
Mean (SD) E-ETDRS visual acuity letter score (Snellen equivalent)	52.1 (13.1)	50.6 (14.9)	51.0 (14.4)	51.2 (14.1)			
73-59 (20/40 to 20/63)	33 (38)	33 (36)	34 (37)	100 (37)			
58-49 (20/80 to 20/100)	20 (23)	19 (21)	19 (21)	58 (21)			
48-19 (20/125 to 20/400)	35 (40)	40 (43)	38 (42)	113 (42)			
Duration of macular edema, mo	4.2 (3.1)	4.5 (4.2)	4.2 (3.6)	4.3 (3.7)			
<3	29 (33)	36 (39)	40 (44)	105 (39)			
3-6	43 (49)	38 (41)	34 (37)	115 (42)			
7-12	14 (16)	14 (15)	15 (16)	43 (16)			
>12	2 (2)	4 (4)	2 (2)	8 (3)			
IOP, mm Hg	15.4 (3.2)	15.3 (3.2)	15.8 (3.2)	15.5 (3.2)			
IOP-lowering medication	9 (10.0)	4 (4.3)	7 (7.7)	20 (7.4)			
Phakic	66 (75)	77 (84)	76 (84)	219 (81)			
Imaging data, mean (SD)		()	· · /	~ /			
OCT center point thickness, µm	695 (208)	643 (226)	641 (248)	659 (229)			
Total macular volume, mean (SD), mm ³	10.4 (1.7)	10.6 (2.0)	10.0 (2.1)	10.3 (2.0)			
Area of retinal thickening within the grid, mean (SD), DA ^b	13.0 (4.6)	12.2 (4.8)	11.8 (5.1)	12.3 (4.8)			
Area of retinal hemorrhage within the grid, mean (SD), DA ^b	3.6 (3.0)	3.1 (3.2)	3.4 (3.5)	3.4 (3.3)			
Area of fluorescein leakage within the grid, mean (SD), DA ^b	11.6 (4.8)	10.9 (5.0)	10.4 (5.1)	10.9 (5.0)			
>10 DA of capillary nonperfusion in the eye ^c	0 (0)	2 (3)	1 (2)	3 (2)			
Mean (SD) nonstudy eye E-ETDRS visual acuity letter score	80.8 (15.0)	81.2 (12.6)	81.5 (10.3)	81.2 (12.7)			
Other clinical characteristics	. ,	. ,	. ,	. ,			
Diabetes mellitus	22 (25)	17 (18)	23 (25)	62 (23)			
Hypertension	70 (80)	63 (68)	64 (70)	197 (73)			
Coronary artery disease	20 (23)	17 (18)	19 (21)	56 (21)			
History of cancer	14 (16)	19 (21)	25 (27)	58 (21)			

Abbreviations: DA, disc area; E-ETDRS, electronic Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; IOP, intraocular pressure; OCT, optical coherence tomography. ^aIndicates dosage of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide.

^bThe grid is defined as the 9 ETDRS subfields centered in the macula and measures 16 DAs.

^cCapillary nonperfusion in the eye was assessed in 59, 72, and 65 eyes at baseline in the observation, 1-mg, and 4-mg triamcinolone acetonide dosage groups, respectively.

OCT. A more detailed description of the SCORE-CRVO participant population can be found elsewhere.⁴¹

FOLLOW-UP

Figure 1 shows study follow-up of all participants at 4-month intervals through month 12, and then annually through month 36. The month 12 primary outcome visit was completed by 83%, 90%, and 90% in the observation, 1-mg, and 4-mg groups, respectively. At the time of study completion, 56% of participants had month 24 outcomes assessed and 30% had month 36 outcomes assessed.

STUDY TREATMENTS

Prior to month 12, the average number of injections was similar in the triamcinolone groups, with 2.2 in the 1-mg triamcinolone group (95% CI, 2.1-2.4) and 2.0 in the 4-mg triamcinolone group (95% CI, 1.8-2.1) (**Table 3**). The success of the prior triamcinolone treatment was the primary reason for not giving additional injections prior to 12 months (66%). Less fre-

quent reasons cited for not giving retreatment prior to 12 months included futility of the treatment (11%), treatment contraindication (7%), participant refusal (3%), and other reasons (11%).

Few treatment protocol deviations were noted prior to 12 months. These entailed intravitreal injections of (1) anti-VEGF drug in four 1-mg triamcinolone participants, two 4-mg triamcinolone participants, and 2 participants in the observation group; and (2) non–study formulation triamcinolone in two 1-mg triamcinolone participants and 1 participant in the observation group. These participants were included in the primary analyses, but not in the per protocol analysis.

OUTCOMES

Visual Acuity

The primary outcome of the SCORE-CRVO trial, the percentage of participants with a gain in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more from baseline to month 12, was 6.8%, 26.5%, and 25.6% for the observation, 1-mg, and 4-mg



Figure 1. Flowchart of participant progress in the SCORE-CRVO Study. Missed visits include those who missed a visit but came back for other visits and those who prematurely withdrew from the study.

groups, respectively (**Table 4**; **Figure 2**A). The odds ratio (OR) estimates for a gain in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more (after adjusting for baseline visual acuity) comparing the 1-mg and 4-mg triamcinolone groups, respectively, with the observation group, were 5.0 (95% CI, 1.8-14.1; P=.001) and 5.0 (95% CI, 1.8-14.4; P=.001) and, comparing the 1-mg with the 4-mg triamcinolone group, was 1.0 (95% CI, 0.5-2.1; P=.97). The last observation carried forward approach and the per protocol analysis gave results that were qualitatively similar to the primary analysis, with both triamcinolone groups similar to each other and significantly better than the observation group.

Both triamcinolone groups had a similar change from baseline to month 12 in mean visual acuity letter score (an approximately 1-2–letter loss) compared with a mean loss of 12 in the observation group (Table 4). Across visits (**Table 5**; Figure 2), the percentage with a gain in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more was consistently lower in the observation group than the triamcinolone groups and similar for the 1-mg and 4-mg triamcinolone groups (Figure 2A). At month 24, a loss in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more (Figure 2B) was noted in approximately 48% of participants in the observation group compared with approximately 30% of participants in the triamcinolone groups (P=.06, χ^2 test).

In an analysis limited to eyes that were pseudophakic at baseline, the mean gain in visual acuity was 2 letters in the 1-mg triamcinolone group, while there was a mean loss in visual acuity letter score of 1 in the 4-mg triamcinolone group and 14 in the observation group (P=.09, analysis of variance). Other analyses that exam-

Table 3. Number of Protocol Treatments and Percentage of Participants Treated

	Par	ticipants, No.	(%)
	Observation (n=88)	1 mg ^a (n=92)	4 mg ^a (n=91)
Injections			
Baseline to prior to 12 mo, mean (95% CI)	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	2.2 (2.1-2.4)	2.0 (1.8-2.1)
	0 (0)	AE (40)	00 (20)
3	0(0)	45 (49)	29 (32)
2	0 (0)	24 (26)	30 (33)
1	8 (9) 5	23 (25)	31 (34)
0	80 (91)	0 (0)	1 (1) ^c
Visit			
Baseline	88 (0)	92 (100)	91 (99)
Month 4	81 (1)	87 (77) ^d	88 (57)
Month 8	74 (9)	82 (57)	83 (46)
Month 12	73 (11)	83 (36)	82 (35)
Month 16	66 (8)	71 (21)	69 (32)
Month 20	52 (10)	63 (22)	60 (22)
Month 24	46 (9)	55 (16)	50 (26)

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

^aIndicates dosage of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide.

^b The 8 participants receiving an injection in the observation group represent crossovers from observation to 4 mg, per protocol guidelines.

^cOne participant was randomized to the 4-mg arm, but withdrew before initial treatment and therefore never received an injection.

^d Percentage indicates participants who received injections of those who attended the follow-up visit.

ined 12-month visual acuity outcomes for prespecified baseline subgroups categorizing duration of macular edema, visual acuity letter score, and OCT-measured center point thickness also demonstrated results consistent with those of the overall 12-month analysis (**Table 6**).

Imaging

All 3 study groups showed OCT-measured center point thickness decreases from baseline through follow-up (**Table 7**; **Figure 3**). At the month 4 visit, the median decrease was greater in the 4-mg triamcinolone group (196 µm decrease) than the 1-mg (77 µm decrease) and the observation groups (125 µm decrease; P < .001, Kruskal-Wallis test). By the scheduled follow-up visit, the percentage of participants with a center point thickness of less than 250 µm was similar for the 3 study groups, with the exception of the month 4 visit, at which a greater percentage of participants in the 4-mg triamcinolone group had such a decrease (P = .002, χ^2 test).

Changes in OCT-measured center point thickness from baseline in all 3 study groups showed moderate negative correlation with changes from baseline in visual acuity letter score over time. The Pearson correlation coefficients were -0.29, -0.19, and -0.45, at month 4 and -0.39, -0.32, and -0.32 at month 12 for the observation, 1-mg, and 4-mg groups, respectively.

For all 3 study groups, disc areas of fluorescein leakage within the grid were smaller at the 12-month visit than at baseline, although at month 4 there was more leakage in the observation group than in the 1-mg and 4-mg triamcinolone groups (P=.002, Kruskall-Wallis

Table 4. Change in Visual Acuity Letter Score at Month 12

Change in Visual Acuity Letter Score	Observation (n=73)	1 mg ^a (n=83)	4 mg ^a (n=82)
Distribution of change at month 12 ^b			
Gain			
≥15 ^c	6.8	26.5	25.6
10-14	8.2	14.5	9.8
5-9	11.0	9.6	13.4
Same ±4	19.2	14.5	18.3
Loss			
5-9	6.8	4.8	3.7
10-14	4.1	4.8	3.7
≥15	43.8	25.3	25.6
/lean (95% CI) ^d	-12.1 (-17.1 to -7.1)	-1.2 (-6.4 to 4.1)	-1.2 (-6.3 to 4.0
ledian (IQR)	-9.0 (-29.0 to 5.0)	5.0 (-16.0 to 16.0)	4.0 (–15.0 to 1

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IQR, interquartile range.

^aIndicates dosage of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide.

^b Indicates percentage of observed cases (ie, observation group, 73; 1-mg group, 83; 4-mg group, 82).

^cOdds ratios (ORs) and closed-testing *P* values for pairwise comparisons with a gain in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more are 1 mg vs observation:

OR, 5.0; 95% Cl, 1.8-14.1; P=.001; 4 mg vs observation: OR, 5.0; 95% Cl, 1.8-14.4; P=.001; 4 mg vs 1 mg: OR, 1.0; 95% Cl, 0.5-2.1; P=.97. Odds ratios are

adjusted for baseline visual acuity. Confidence intervals are not adjusted for simultaneous testing or interim monitoring.

^d Analysis of variance comparing the means among the 3 groups at month 12; *P*= .004.

test) (Table 8). There were few eyes with more than 10 disc areas of capillary nonperfusion in the eye at months 4, 12, and 24, with little difference between groups.

Safety

More eyes in the 4-mg triamcinolone group (35%) initiated IOP-lowering medication through 12 months compared with the 1-mg triamcinolone (20%) and observation groups (8%) (P=.02 for the observation vs 1 mg comparison; P < .001, observation vs 4 mg; and P = .02, 1 mg vs 4 mg, χ^2 test) (**Table 9**). During the first 12 months of the study, 2 participants in the 1-mg triamcinolone group received tube shunt surgery and, between 12 and 24 months, 2 participants in the 4-mg triamcinolone group received tube shunt surgery; the surgery in all participants was deemed by the investigator to be necessary because of the underlying disease (neovascular glaucoma) rather than to steroid-related IOP elevation.

Among eyes that were phakic at baseline, the estimate through month 12 of new-onset lens opacity or progression of an existing opacity in the observation group, based on assessment at the clinical center, was 18% compared with 26% and 33% for the 1-mg and 4-mg triamcinolone groups, respectively (P=.14) (Table 9). While no eyes in the observation or 1-mg triamcinolone groups had cataract surgery through month 12, 4 eyes in the 4-mg group received cataract surgery. Similarly, cataract surgery was more frequent between months 12 and 24 in the 4-mg group, with 21 eyes receiving cataract surgery compared with 3 in the 1-mg group and 0 in the observation group (for the data between 1 and 2 years, P=.12 for the observation vs 1 mg comparison; P < .001, observation vs 4 mg; and P < .001, 1 mg vs 4 mg, log-rank test).

Through month 12, there were no reports of infectious or noninfectious endophthalmitis or retinal detachment in any of the 3 study groups (Table 9). Iris neovascularization/neovascular glaucoma, retinal neo-

vascularization, and vitreous hemorrhage occurred at low frequencies in each of the groups. Other surgical procedures through 12 months including sector/ panretinal scatter photocoagulation, pars plana vitrectomy, and yttrium aluminium garnet capsulotomy, were also uncommon.

Minor ocular adverse events related to the injection procedure were evaluated (data not shown), with vitreous floaters and conjunctival hemorrhage reported in a similar proportion of participants in both triamcinolone groups through 12 months (vitreous floaters, 24% for the 1-mg group and 33% for the 4-mg group; conjunctival hemorrhage, 29% for the 1-mg group and 28% for the 4-mg group). Silicone oil droplets in the vitreous were also reported through 12 months in 20% of the 1-mg group and 13% of the 4-mg group. A separate article provides more detailed information on the incidence of intravitreal silicone oil in the SCORE Study, which decreased precipitously following the introduction of a luer cone needle design in place of a staked-on needle design.48

Reports of systemic adverse events (not shown) were similar among the SCORE-CRVO trial groups. The Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities system/ organ class of infection and infestations had the highest percentage of incidence through 12 months, with 10%, 15%, and 19% of participants reporting at least 1 event for the observation, 1-mg, and 4-mg study groups, respectively. Three deaths were reported before 12 months of follow-up (1 in the 1-mg group and 2 in 4-mg group), and 5 more deaths after 12 months of follow-up (1 in the observation group, 1 in the 1-mg group, and 3 in the 4-mg group). The causes of the 8 deaths, as reported by the clinical centers, include for the observation group, complications from a broken hip; the 1-mg group, respiratory failure and brain hemorrhage; and the 4-mg group, myocardial infarction, lung cancer (n=2), liver cancer, and unknown cause.



Figure 2. Change from baseline in electronic Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study visual acuity letter score at each 4-month follow-up visit. The histograms show the percentages of participants with a gain (A) or loss (B) in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more from baseline. The dashed line from each bar represents the upper 95% confidence limit. C, Box plot with whiskers represents the 5th and 95th percentiles; the line in the box represents the median; dots, values outside the whiskers; O, observation; 1 mg and 4 mg, doses of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide.

COMMENT

The results of the SCORE-CRVO trial demonstrate that the likelihood of a gain in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more at 12 months is 5 times greater with intravitreal triamcinolone than observation for eyes with vision loss associated with macular edema secondary to perfused CRVO. At all time points through 12 months, mean visual acuity was better in the triamcinolone groups than in the observation group. Compared with the natural history of macular edema secondary to CRVO, the effect of intravitreal triamcinolone on visual acuity was consistent across all prespecified subgroups.

In contrast to the visual acuity results, there was no difference between groups in retinal thickness at 12 months. At month 4, there was a greater reduction in OCTmeasured center point thickness in the 4-mg triamcinolone group than the other 2 groups (P < .001). These results suggest that triamcinolone has an effect on macular edema but reinforces the observation that there is only a moderate correlation between OCT-measured thickness and visual acuity in patients with retinal vein occlusion.45 A possible explanation for the discordance between visual acuity and retinal thickness such that all 3 groups showed a constant decline in median thickness until 12 months but the triamcinolone-treated groups had visual acuity results superior to that of the observation group could be a neuroprotective, anti-inflammatory, or other effect of corticosteroids in eyes with CRVO.27,28,49,50

A recent meta-analysis by Mohamed et al⁵¹ concluded that there is no level I evidence to support any intervention to improve visual acuity over the natural history of untreated macular edema in eyes with CRVO. However, the results from the SCORE-CRVO trial showed that intravitreal triamcinolone can alter the natural history of CRVO beneficially with respect to visual acuity. The results from the SCORE-CRVO trial showed that the natural history of untreated CRVO is poor, with only 7% of participants showing a gain in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more at 12 months. In the CVOS, the visual acuity inclusion criteria were broader than in the SCORE Study, but the result was similar; 6% of patients in the untreated arm of CVOS group M had a gain in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more at 12 months.

The adverse effects of the intravitreal injections were manageable, particularly with the 1-mg dose. There were no cases of infectious or noninfectious endophthalmitis with the intravitreal injection procedure and triamcinolone formulation used in the SCORE-CRVO trial. The lack of cases of noninfectious endophthalmitis in 586 injections performed in this trial, all of which were evaluated within 1 week for postinjection complications, may be because of the preservative-free, micronized, nondispersive triamcinolone formulation (the triamcinolone crystals were suspended in a hyaluronate matrix gel) used in this trial. Silicone oil droplets in the vitreous following intravitreal injections were noted (13%-20%, depending on study group) but no adverse effects were attributable to the droplets. Intravitreal silicone oil due to the use of siliconized syringes is a recognized occurrence.^{52,53} There was a dose-dependent higher frequency of initiating IOP-lowering medications in the triamcinolone groups compared with the observation group (Table 9). However, no participants in any of the 3 groups received filtration surgery during the 12 months. Two participants in the 1-mg triamcinolone group received tube shunt surgery but these procedures were performed because of complications from neovascular glaucoma, not steroid-related IOP elevation. Four cataract surgeries were performed in the 4-mg triamcinolone group, with none in either the 1-mg triamcinolone or the observation groups up to month 12. These results indicate that the 1-mg triamcinolone group has a superior ad-

Table 5. Change From Baseline in Visual Acuity Letter Score by Follow-up Visit and Treatment Group

	Participants Who		Ci	nange From Baseline	e in Visual Acu	ity Letter Sc	ore			
	Attended, No. From Observation/	I	Mean (95% CI)]	Gain of	≥15, % (95	% CI)	Loss o	f ≥15, %	/0
Visit	1-mg/4-mg Group	Observation	1 mg ^a	4 mg ^a	Observation	1 mg ^a	4 mg ^a	Observation	1 mg ^a	4 mg ^a
Month 4	79/87/88	-7.8 (-11.6 to -3.9)	-4.8 (-9.6 to 0.0)	1.5 (-2.6 to 5.7)	4 (0-8)	13 (6-20)	19 (11-28)	30	24	16
Month 8	72/82/83	-11.7 (-16.2 to -7.2)	-3.0 (-8.2 to 2.3)	-1.9 (-6.7 to 2.9)	4 (0-9)	22 (13-31)	20 (12-29)	40	26	25
Month 12	73/83/82	-12.1 (-17.1 to -7.1)	-1.2 (-6.4 to 4.1)	-1.2 (-6.3 to 4.0)	7 (1-13)	27 (17-36)	26 (16-35)	44	25	26
Month 16	66/71/69	-11.4 (-16.9 to -6.0)	-1.5 (-6.7 to 3.7)	-3.6 (-9.3 to 2.1)	9 (2-16)	24 (14-34)	26 (16-36)	41	24	28
Month 20	52/62/60	-9.8 (-16.0 to -3.7)	-2.5 (-8.7 to 3.8)	-5.5 (-11.6 to 0.7)	10 (2-18)	24 (14-35)	20 (10-30)	35	27	35
Month 24	46/55/50	-10.7 (-17.4 to -4.1)	-4.4 (-11.5 to 2.8)	-2.4 (-9.3 to 4.4)	9 (1-17)	31 (19-43)	26 (14-38)	48	31	26

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

^a Indicates dosage of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide.

	Participants Who		Chang	e From Baseline in Vi	isual Acuity Le	tter Sco	re			
	Attended, No. From Observation/		Mean (95% CI)		Gain o	f ≥15, 9	/0	Loss o	f ≥15, 9	/0
Subgroup	1-mg/4-mg Group	Observation	1 mg ^a	4 mg ^a	Observation	1 mg ^a	4 mg ^a	Observation	1 mg ^a	4 mg
Baseline visual acuity letter										
73-59 (20/40-20/63)	28/28/33	-10.6(-18.6 to -2.7)	-5 3 (-16 5 to 6 0)	-5 1 (-12 7 to 2 5)	4	25	9	39	29	24
58-49 (20/80-20/100)	16/19/16	-13.3(-26.6 to 0.0)	7.8 (-1.9 to 17.6)	2.8 (-10.2 to 15.9)	6	47	38	44	11	25
48-19 (20/125-20/400)	29/36/33	-12.8 (-20.9 to -4.7)	-2.8 (-9.8 to 4.3)	0.9 (-7.9 to 9.6)	10	16	36	48	31	27
Baseline center point thickness, µm		· · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
<500	6/21/24	-9.3 (-31.1 to 12.5)	4.1 (-6.0 to 14.2)	7.4 (-1.0 to 15.7)	17	33	33	50	19	4
≥500	66/61/56	-12.5 (-17.9 to -7.1)	-2.1 (-8.2 to 4.0)	-4.1 (-10.5 to 2.2)	6	25	23	44	26	34
Duration of macular edema at baseline, mo										
≤3	41/43/43	-9.3 (-16.2 to -2.4)	-3.4 (-11.9 to 5.0)	-0.8 (-8.5 to 6.9)	7	33	28	39	33	23
>3	32/40/39	-15.6 (-23.2 to -8.1)	1.3 (-5.1 to 7.6)	-1.5 (-8.6 to 5.5)	6	20	23	50	18	28
Pseudophakic at baseline	18/10/10	-13.6 (-23.9 to -3.3)	1.9 (-11.9 to 15.7)	-1.2 (-12.8 to 10.4)	6	20	20	56	10	30

Abbreviation: CI, confidence interval.

^a Indicates dosage of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide.

Table 7. OCT-Measured Center Point Thickness

	Participants Who Attended, No. From Observation/	[Center Point Thickness, µm Median (IQR) Median Change From Baseline (IQR) <250 µm, %								
Visit	1-mg/4-mg Group	Observation	1 mg ^a	4 mg ^a	Observation	1 mg ^a	4 mg ^a	Observation	1 mg ^a	4 mg ^a	
Baseline	87/91/89	651 (572-794)	666 (501-777)	612 (459-798)	NA	NA	NA	3	4	4	
Month 4 ^b	75/82/84	543 (352-627)	575 (366-697)	379 (199-565)	-125 (-290 to -29)	-77 (-218 to 84)	-196 (-359 to -47)	16	16	36	
Month 8	70/73/80	472 (334-604)	485 (206-630)	393 (211-615)	-198 (-326 to -102)	-173 (-306 to -17)	-219 (-351 to -63)	12	28	33	
Month 12	68/72/78	408 (224-629)	427 (204-643)	272 (178-509)	-277 (-418 to -40)	-196 (-390 to -62)	-261 (-407 to -79)	28	32	45	
Month 16	61/61/59	377 (188-581)	343 (181-597)	258 (178-523)	-315 (-427 to -145)	-242 (-426 to -108)	-259 (-396 to -91)	33	36	44	
Month 20	44/55/47	304 (200-522)	249 (169-501)	238 (165-493)	-343 (-458 to -102)	-296 (-450 to -60)	-249 (-362 to -102)	41	48	47	
Month 24	43/48/45	325 (168-515)	215 (157-534)	265 (169-495)	-304 (-465 to -108)	-286 (-458 to -119)	-236 (-421 to -63)	38	50	39	

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; NA, not applicable; OCT, optical coherence tomography.

^a Indicates dosage of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide.

^bKruskal-Wallis test comparing the distribution of change from baseline among the 3 groups at month 4; P<.001. The χ^2 test compared the percentages of participants with a thickness of less than 250 µm at month 4, P=.002.

verse event profile than the 4-mg triamcinolone group. Additionally, these results indicate that the most serious consequences of steroid-related adverse effects, cataract surgery and glaucoma surgery, were seen with similar frequency when comparing the 1-mg triamcinolone group with the observation group at month 12 and at 2



Figure 3. Optical coherence tomography–measured center point thickness at each 4-month follow-up visit. The histograms show the percentages of participants with center point thicknesses of less than 250 µm (A) and greater than 500 µm (B). The line from each bar represents the upper 95% confidence limit. C, Box plots with whiskers represent the 5th and 95th percentiles; the line in the box represents the median; dots, values outside the whiskers; O, observation; 1 mg and 4 mg, doses of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide. Horizontal reference lines at 250 and 500 µm are presented.

Fluorescein Leakage Within the Grid, DA ^a						Capillary Nonperfusion Within the Eye, DA ^b				
	Patients Who Attended, No. From Observation/	ſ	Nedian (IQR)			Patients Who Attended, No. From Observation/	>10 DA, %			
Visit	Group	Observation	1 mg ^c	4 mg ^c	Visit	1-mg/4-mg Group	Observation	1 mg ^c	4 mg ^c	
Baseline	87/91/90	13 (7-16)	12 (6-16)	12 (6-16)	Baseline	59/72/65	0	3	2	
Month 4 ^d	78/84/84	12 (6-16)	9 (4-15)	5 (2-14)	Month 4	60/70/65	0	7	6	
Month 12	63/77/76	7 (4-14)	6 (2-14)	7 (2-13)	Month 12	50/62/57	10	15	7	
Month 24	39/50/45	9 (4-13)	5 (2-12)	9 (2-15)	Month 24	34/40/33	21	15	3	

Abbreviations: DA, disc areas; ETDRS, Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study; IQR, interquartile range.

^aThe grid is defined as the 9 ETDRS subfields centered in the macula and measures 16 DA.

^bWithin the eye is 210 DA.

^cIndicates dosage of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide.

^d Kruskal-Wallis test comparing the distribution of change from baseline in area of fluorescein leakage within the grid among the 3 groups at month 4, P=.002.

years (Table 9), suggesting that the safety profile of 1-mg triamcinolone is comparable with observation with respect to these surgical complications.

It is important to note that the treatment effect in this trial was achieved by a regimen that encouraged frequent retreatment. Participants were retreated with triamcinolone unless they improved significantly with respect to vision or OCT-measured retinal thickness or had an adverse event that precluded further retreatment. Thus, undertreatment with triamcinolone in the SCORE-CRVO trial was minimized as much as possible.

The results of the current study indicate that, as judged at 12 months, intravitreal triamcinolone is an effective therapy compared with observation for patients with vision loss as-

	No. (%)				
Characteristic	Observation (n=88)	1 mg ^a (n=92)	4 mg ^a (n=91)		
Events Through 1	2 Months				
Elevated IOP or glaucoma					
Initiation of IOP-lowering	7 (8)	18 (20)	32 (35)		
	4	-	0		
10P > 35 mm Hg	1	5	ð		
IOP > 10 mm Hg above baseline	2	15	24		
Laser peripheral indotomy	0	0	1		
Tube ebund	0	0	0		
	0	2	0		
	<u> </u>	77	70		
Baseline priakic eyes	10 (10)	11	10		
cr programion ⁶	12 (10)	20 (20)	25 (33		
Cataract aurgany	0	0	4		
Other equilar adverse events	0	0	4		
At least 1 of the following	0	-1-1	6		
adverse events:	9		0		
Infectious endonhthalmitis	0	0	0		
Noninfectious endophthalmitis	0	0	0		
Retinal detachment	0	0	0		
Iris neovascularization or	2	g	4		
neovascular glaucoma	2	0	-		
Retinal neovascularization	4	2	2		
Vitreous hemorrhage	4	4	0		
Other ocular surgical procedures	•		Ŭ		
YAG capsulotomy	1	0	0		
Sector or panretinal scatter	5	9	3		
photocoagulation	-	-	-		
Pars plana vitrectomy	1	2	0		
Selected Events at 1	2-24 Months				
Glaucoma procedures					
Laser peripheral iridotomy	0	0	0		
Trabeculectomy	0	0	0		
Tube shunt ^d	0	0	2		
Cataract					
Cataract surgery	0	3	21		

Abbreviation: IOP, intraocular pressure.

^aIndicates dosage of intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide.

^bPercentages are of the total sample size. P < .001 based on an overall χ^2 test. For the 3 pairwise comparisons, adjusting for multiple testing, P=.02 for the observation vs 1-mg comparison; P < .001, observation vs 4 mg; P=.02, 1 mg vs 4 mg.

^cLaser peripheral iridotomy was performed for angle-closure glaucoma. ^dA tube shunt was performed for these participants for treatment of neovascular glaucoma.

 $e_{P=.14, \chi^2}$ test.

sociated with macular edema secondary to CRVO who are similar to those enrolled in the SCORE-CRVO trial. Despite the observed benefit to visual acuity with either the 1-mg or 4-mg dose of triamcinolone relative to observation, threefourths of eyes that received intravitreal triamcinolone did not have a gain in visual acuity letter score of 15 or more from baseline to 12 months, one-fourth of treated eyes had vision loss of a similar magnitude, and more than half of patients had an OCT-measured center point thickness greater than 250 µm at 12 months. The search for other treatments would be beneficial and should be explored in the future to improve on the outcomes demonstrated by the current study.

Twelve-month data are important in a disease with an acute nature, and may be sufficient follow-up, but the

longer-term role of triamcinolone is also important. A shortcoming of the current study is the lack of definitive data at 2 years. Difficulty with the recruitment of participants over an extended period shortened the duration of follow-up for many participants. From the data available between month 12 and 2 years, the beneficial effect of both doses of intravitreal triamcinolone on visual acuity, as determined by mean change in visual acuity, attenuated between 12 months and 2 years. It is unknown how much progressive cataract formation reduced visual acuity during this time period and whether a lower threshold for performing cataract surgery would have improved visual acuity at 2 years in eyes treated with intravitreal triamcinolone. However, to minimize the effect of cataract on the results, the protocol encouraged cataract surgery at all follow-up visits as soon as clinically indicated in the judgment of the investigator. Regardless of the effect of cataract between month 12 and 2 years, the visual acuity result at 2 years was the same as at 12 months, significantly favoring the triamcinolone groups; this finding supports continuation of therapy to 2 years, although the smaller number of patients available for the 2-year visit precludes a definitive recommendation for 2 years of therapy with intravitreal triamcinolone. With respect to safety concerns at 2 years, the rates of cataract and glaucoma complications parallel the results at month 12. Furthermore, the adverse event profile at 2 years for the triamcinolone groups in the concurrent SCORE-BRVO trial is similar to that in the SCORE-CRVO trial, and the consistency of the adverse event results in the 2 trials strengthens the data regarding the 2-year adverse event rates noted in the SCORE-CRVO trial.

In conclusion, intravitreal triamcinolone in both a 1-mg and 4-mg dose had better visual acuity outcomes over 12 months than the untreated natural history of macular edema secondary to perfused CRVO. Beyond 12 months, the greater likelihood of visual acuity gain with triamcinolone persists, although there is a mild attenuation of the effect of triamcinolone with respect to mean change in visual acuity, possibly because of cataract. The superior safety profile of the 1-mg dose compared with the 4-mg dose, particularly with respect to glaucoma and cataract, renders it the preferred dose. Based on the results of the SCORE-CRVO trial, intravitreal triamcinolone in a 1-mg dose and following the retreatment criteria used in this study should be considered for up to 1 year, and possibly 2 years, in patients with vision loss associated with macular edema secondary to CRVO who have characteristics similar to the participants studied in this trial.

Submitted for Publication: May 15, 2009; final revision received June 3, 2009; accepted June 3, 2009. Authors/Writing Committee: Michael S. Ip, MD (Chair); Ingrid U. Scott, MD, MPH; Paul C. VanVeldhuisen, PhD; Neal L. Oden, PhD; Barbara A. Blodi, MD; Marian Fisher, PhD; Lawrence J. Singerman, MD; Michael Tolentino, MD; Clement K. Chan, MD; Victor H. Gonzalez, MD. Correspondence: Dr VanVeldhuisen, The EMMES Corporation, 401 N Washington St, Ste 700, Rockville, MD 20850 (score@emmes.com).

Financial Disclosure: None reported.

Standard Care vs Corticosteroid for Retinal Vein Occlusion (SCORE) Study Investigators

Resource Centers

Office of the Study Chair at the University of Wisconsin: Michael S. Ip, MD (study chair); Marian Fisher, PhD (statistical consultant); Barbara Nardi (assistant to the study chair); past participating personnel, Nancy T. Cahill. Office of the Study Co-Chair at Penn State College of Medicine: Ingrid U. Scott, MD, MPH (study co-chair). Data Coordinating Center at The EMMES Corporation: Paul C. VanVeldhuisen, PhD (principal investigator); Neal L. Oden, PhD (statistician); Maria J. Figueroa, MBA (project director); Anne Charlton (data manager); Eileen Guan, MS (programmer); Oscar Moreno (clinical systems analyst); Janet Van Dyke (regulatory/administrative coordinator); past participating personnel, Tracy Bailey, Chanele Robinson, Michael Frasketi. Fundus Photograph Reading Center at the University of Wisconsin: Barbara A. Blodi, MD (principal investigator); Debra J. Christianson; Julee Elledge; Vonnie Gama; Kathleen E. Glander; Anne Goulding; Dawn J. Myers; Susan B. Reed; Pam Vargo; Kelly J. Warren, MS.

Funding Agency

National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, Maryann Redford, DDS, MPH (Program Officer).

SCORE Study Committees

Executive Committee: Barbara A. Blodi, MD; Maria J. Figueroa, MBA; Michael S. Ip, MD; Neal L. Oden, PhD; Maryann Redford, DDS, MPH; Ingrid U. Scott, MD, MPH; Paul C. VanVeldhu-isen, PhD; J. Michael Jumper, MD (clinic investigator representative); Neal Oestreich (clinic coordinator representative). *Data and Safety Monitoring Committee*: John C. Connett, PhD (chair); Deborah R. Barnbaum, PhD; Harry W. Flynn Jr, MD; Robert Frank, MD; Saul Genuth, MD; Lee Jampol, MD; Steven Wisniewski, PhD; Maryann Redford, DDS, MPH (nonvoting); past participating member, Jeanette Resnick. *SCORE Advisory Group*: Barbara A. Blodi, MD; Mark S. Blumenkranz, MD; Neil M. Bressler, MD; Karl Csaky, MD, PhD; Matthew D. Davis, MD; Michael Gorin, MD, PhD; Julia A. Haller, MD; Michael S. Ip, MD (study chair); Mark W. Johnson, MD; Anne Lindblad, PhD; Bernard H. Doft, MD; Sharon Fekrat, MD; Maria J. Figueroa, MBA; Daniel Finkelstein, MD; Neal L. Oden, PhD; Maryann Redford, DDS, MPH; Ingrid U. Scott, MD, MPH; Paul C. VanVeldhuisen, PhD.

Clinical Centers

<text><section-header><text>

Standard Care vs Corticosteroid for Retinal Vein Occlusion (SCORE) Study Investigators (continued)

Clinical Centers (continued)

<section-header><section-header><section-header>

Funding/support: This study was supported by National Eye Institute (National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services) grants 5U10EY014351, 5U10EY014352, and 5U10EY014404; and in part by Allergan, Inc.

Additional Information: The eTable is available at http: //www.archophthalmol.com.

REFERENCES

- 1. David R, Zangwill L, Badarna M, Yassur Y. Epidemiology of retinal vein occlusion and its association with glaucoma and increased intraocular pressure. Ophthalmologica. 1988;197(2):69-74.
- 2. Mitchell P, Smith W, Chang A. Prevalence and associations of retinal vein occlusion in Australia. Arch Ophthalmol. 1996;114(10):1243-1247.
- 3. Klein R, Moss SE, Meuer SM, Klein BEK. The 15-year cumulative incidence of retinal vein occlusion. Arch Ophthalmol. 2008;126(4):513-518.
- 4. Klein R, Klein BE, Lee KE, Cruickshanks KJ, Gangnon RE. Changes in visual acuity in a population over a 15 year period: the Beaver Dam Eye Study. Am J Ophthalmol. 2006;142(4):539-549
- Central Vein Occlusion Study Group. Baseline and early natural history report. Arch Ophthalmol. 1993;111(8):1087-1095.
- The Central Vein Occlusion Study Group. Natural history and clinical management of central retinal vein occlusion. Arch Ophthalmol. 1997;115(4):486-491.
- 7 The Central Vein Occlusion Study Group. Evaluation of grid pattern photocoagulation for macular edema in central vein occlusion: the Central Vein Occlusion Study Group M report. Ophthalmology. 1995;102(10):1425-1433
- 8. Opremcak EM, Bruce RA, Lomeo MD, Ridenour CD, Letson AD, Rehmar AJ. Radial optic neurotomy for central retinal vein occlusion: a retrospective pilot study of 11 consecutive cases. Retina. 2001;21(5):408-415.
- Zambarakji HJ, Ghazi-Nouri S, Schadt M, Bunce C, Hykin PG, Charteris DG. Vitrectomy and radial optic neurotomy for central retinal vein occlusion. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2005;243(5):397-405.
- 10. Glacet-Bernard A. Kuhn D. Vine AK. Oubraham H. Coscas G. Soubrane G. Treatment of recent onset central retinal vein occlusion with intravitreal tissue plasminogen activator: a pilot study. Br J Ophthalmol. 2000;84(6):609-613.
- 11. Elman MJ, Raden RZ, Carrigan A. Intravitreal injection of tissue plasminogen activator for central retinal vein occlusion. Trans Am Ophthalmol Soc. 2001;99: 219-223.
- 12. Furukawa M, Kumagai K, Ogino N, Uemura A, Larson E. Long-term visual outcomes of vitrectomy for cystoid macular edema due to nonischemic central retinal vein occlusion. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2006;16(6):841-846.
- 13. Iturralde D, Spaide RF, Meyerle CB, et al. Intravitreal bevacizumab (Avastin) treatment of macular edema in central retinal vein occlusion: a short-term study. Retina. 2006:26(3):279-284
- 14. Glacet-Bernard A, Coscas G, Chabanel A, Zourdani A, Lelong F, Samama MM. A randomized, double-masked study on the treatment of retinal vein occlusion vith troxerutin. Am J Ophthalmol. 1994;118(4):421-429.
- 15. Wroblewski JJ, Wells JA III, Adamis AP, et al; Pegaptanib in Central Retinal Vein Occlusion Study Group. Pegaptanib sodium for macular edema secondary to central retinal vein occlusion. Arch Ophthalmol. 2009;127(4):374-380.
- 16. Greenberg PB, Martidis A, Rogers AH, Duker JS, Reichel E. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide for macular oedema due to central retinal vein occlusion. Br J Ophthalmol. 2002;86(2):247-248.
- 17. Ip MS, Kumar KS. Intravitreous triamcinolone acetonide as treatment for macular edema from central retinal vein occlusion. Arch Ophthalmol. 2002;120(9): 1217-1219
- 18. Jonas JB, Kreissig I, Degenring RF. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide as treatment of macular edema in central retinal vein occlusion. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 2002;240(9):782-783.
- 19. Park CH, Jaffe GJ, Fekrat S. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide in eyes with cystoid macular edema associated with central retinal vein occlusion. Am J Ophthalmol. 2003;136(3):419-425
- 20. Aiello LP, Bursell SE, Clermont A, et al. Vascular endothelial growth factorinduced retinal permeability is mediated by protein kinase C in vivo and suppressed by an orally effective beta-isoform-selective inhibitor. Diabetes. 1997; 46(9).1473-1480
- 21. Antonetti DA, Barber AJ, Hollinger LA, Wolpert EB, Gardner TW. Vascular endothelial growth factor induces rapid phosphorylation of tight junction proteins occludin and zonula occluden 1. J Biol Chem. 1999;274(33):23463-23467.
- 22. Senger DR, Galli SJ, Dvorak AM, Peruzzi CA, Harvey VS, Dvorak HF. Tumor cells secrete a vascular permeability factor (VPF) that promotes accumulation of ascites fluid. Science. 1983;219(4587):983-985.
- 23 Vinores SA, Youssri AI, Luna JD, et al. Upregulation of vascular endothelial growth factor in ischemic and non-ischemic human and experimental retinal disease. Histol Histopathol. 1997;12(1):99-109.
- 24. Pe'er J, Folberg R, Itin A, Gnessin H, Hemo I, Keshet E. Vascular endothelial growth factor upregulation in human central retinal vein occlusion. Ophthalmology. 1998; 105(3):412-416.

- 25. Zhang X, Bao S, Lai D, Rapkins RW, Gillies MC. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide inhibits breakdown of the blood-retinal barrier through differential regulation of VEGF-A and its receptors in early diabetic rat retinas. Diabetes. 2008; 57(4):1026-1033
- 26. Wang K, Wang Y, Gao L, Li X, Li M, Guo J. Dexamethasone inhibits leukocyte accumulation and vascular permeability in retina of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats via reducing vascular endothelial growth factor and intercellular adhesion molecule-1 expression. Biol Pharm Bull. 2008;31(8):1541-1546.
- 27. Lee HB, Pulido JS, McCannel CA, Buettner H. Role of inflammation in retinal vein occlusion. Can J Ophthalmol. 2007;42(1):131-133.
- 28. Jeanneteau F, Garabedian MJ, Chao MV. Activation of Trk neurotrophin receptors by glucocorticoids provides a neuroprotective effect. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2008;105(12):4862-4867.
- 29. Flynn HW Jr, Scott IU. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide for macular edema associated with diabetic retinopathy and venous occlusive disease: it's time for clinical trials. Arch Ophthalmol. 2005;123(2):258-259.
- Blumenkranz MS. New therapy for central retinal vein occlusion: are intravitreal steroids a possible answer? Arch Ophthalmol. 2005;123(2):259-261.
- 31. The SCORE Study Research Group. A randomized trial comparing the efficacy and safety of intravitreal triamcinolone with standard care to treat vision loss associated with macular edema secondary to branch retinal vein occlusion: the Standard Care vs Corticosteroid for Retinal Vein Occlusion (SCORE) Study Report 6. Arch Ophthalmol. 2009;127(9):1115-1128.
- 32. Beck RW, Moke PS, Turpin AH, et al. A computerized method of visual acuity testing: adaptation of the early treatment of diabetic retinopathy study testing protocol. Am J Ophthalmol. 2003;135(2):194-205.
- The Age-Related Eye Disease Research Group. The age-related eye disease study 33 (AREDS) system for classifying cataracts from photographs. Am J Ophthalmol. 2001;131(2):167-175.
- 34. Chieh JJ, Roth DB, Liu M, et al. Intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide for diabetic macular edema. Retina. 2005;25(7):828-834.
- 35. Jonas JB, Kreissig I, Spandau UH, Harder B. Infectious and noninfectious endophthalmitis after intravitreal high-dosage triamcinolone acetonide. Am J Ophthalmol. 2006;141(3):579-580.
- 36 Kim JE, Pollack JS, Miller DG, Mittra RA, Spaide RF; Isis Study Group. ISIS-DME: a prospective, randomized, dose-escalation intravitreal steroid injection study for refractory diabetic macular edema. Retina. 2008;28(5):735-740.
- 37. Then Bergh F, Grasser A, Trenkwalder C, Backmund H, Holsboer F, Rupprecht R. Binding characteristics of the glucocorticoid receptor in peripheral blood lymphocytes in multiple sclerosis. J Neurol. 1999;246(4):292-298.
- 38. Schottelius A, Wedel S, Weltrich R, et al. Higher expression of glucocorticoid receptor in peripheral mononuclear cells in inflammatory bowel disease. Am J Gastroenterol. 2000;95(8):1994-1999.
- 39. Chen SD, Lochhead J, Patel CK. Diffuse intraocular dispersion of triamcinolone particles as a cause of sterile endophthalmitis [letter]. Arch Ophthalmol. 2004; 122(11):1733.
- 40. Moshfeqhi DM, Kaiser PK, Bakri SJ, et al. Presumed sterile endophthalmitis following intravitreal triamcinolone acetonide injection. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging. 2005;36(1):24-29.
- Ip MS, Oden NL, Scott IU, et al. SCORE Study Report 3: study design and baseline 41. characteristics [published online July 21, 2009]. Ophthalmology. doi:10.1016 /j.ophtha.2009.03.022.
- 42. Massin P, Erginay A, Haouchine B, Mehidi AB, Paques M, Gaudric A. Retinal thickness in healthy and diabetic subjects measures using optical coherence tomography mapping software. Eur J Ophthalmol. 2002;12(2):102-108.
- 43. Fundus Photograph Reading Center Imaging Procedures for the Standard Care vs COrticosteroid for REtinal Vein Occlusion (SCORE) Study. Bethesda, MD: National Eye Institute; 2008. NTIS order number PB2008-113740.
- 44. Domalpally A, Blodi BA, Scott IU, et al. SCORE Study Report 4: grading methodology of optical coherence tomography images. Arch Ophthalmol. In press.
- Scott IU, VanVeldhuisen PC, Oden NL, et al; SCORE Study Investigator Group. 45 SCORE Study Report 1: baseline association between central retinal thickness and visual acuity in patients with retinal vein occlusion. Ophthalmology. 2009; 116(3):504-512.
- 46. Ip MS, Gottlieb JL, Kahana A, et al. Intravitreal triamcinolone for the treatment of macular edema associated with central retinal vein occlusion. Arch Ophthalmol. 2004;122(8):1131-1136
- 47. Marcus R, Peritz E, Gabriel KR. On closed testing procedures with special reference to ordered analysis of variance. Biometrika. 1976;63(3):655-660.
- Scott IU, Oden NL, VanVeldhuisen PC, et al. SCORE Study Report 7: incidence 48. of intravitreal silicone oil droplets associated with staked-on versus luer cone syringe design. Am J Ophthalmol. In press.
- 49. Vane J, Botting R. Inflammation and the mechanism of action of antiinflammatory drugs. FASEB J. 1987;1(2):89-96.
- Barkana Y, Belkin M. Neuroprotection in ophthalmology: a review. Brain Res Bull. 50. 2004;62(6):447-453.
- Mohamed Q, McIntosh RL, Saw SM, Wong TY. Interventions for central retinal 51. vein occlusion. *Ophthalmology*. 2007;114(3):507-519, 524. Freund KB, Laud K, Eandi CM, Spaide RF. Silicone oil droplets following intra-
- 52 vitreal injection. Retina. 2006;26(6):701-703.
- 53. Bakri SJ, Ekdawi NS. Intravitreal silicone oil droplets after intravitreal drug injections. Retina. 2008;28(7):996-1001.

WWW.ARCHOPHTHALMOL.COM

(REPRINTED) ARCH OPHTHALMOL/VOL 127 (NO. 9), SEP 2009